- 1			
1	Brian T. Hafter (CA State Bar No. 173151)		
2	<u>brian.hafter@rimonlaw.com</u> RIMON P.C.		
3	One Embarcadero Center #400 San Francisco, CA 94111		
4	Telephone: (415) 810-8403		
5	Attorneys for Defendant		
6	THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA		
7			
8	UNITED STATES D	DISTRICT COURT	
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIF		
10		ORAM EMSTERN BIVISION	
11	A CHANTI MOMILI ONI ancimitati di sal	CASE NO. 5.20 CV 02040	
12	ASHANTI MCMILLON, an individual, Plaintiff,	CASE NO. 5:20-CV-02049	
13	V.	AMENDED NOTICE OF REMOVAL	
14	THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF		
15	CALIFORNIA, a public entity; SETSU		
16	SHIGEMATSU, an individual; DYLAN RODRIGUEZ, an individual; S.T.R.O.N.G.		
17	EDUTAINMENT, a nonprofit public benefit corporation; and DOES 1-100, inclusive,		
18			
19	Defendants.		
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
20			

TO THE CLERK OF THE ABOVE-ENTITLED COURT:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that defendant The Regents of the University of California (the "Regents") hereby removes to this Court the state-court action described below.

- 1. On August 13, 2020, a complaint was filed against the Regents by Plaintiff
 Ashanti McMillon ("Plaintiff") in the Superior Court of the State of California in and for the
 County of Riverside, in an action entitled *Ashanti v. The Regents of the University of California, et al.*, case number RIC2003176. A copy of the Complaint ("Complaint") and other documents that
 were served on the Regents with the Complaint are attached hereto as <u>Exhibit A</u>.
- 2. This removal petition is timely under 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b) because the Regents were first served with the Complaint on September 2, 2020, as reflected in the proof of service that is attached hereto as Exhibit B.

JURISDICTION

- 3. This action is a civil action of which this Court has original jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and which may be removed to this Court by Defendant under 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a), as the Complaint includes a claim against the Regents arising under federal law for copyright infringement per 17 U.S.C § 501 (federal copyright statute); 28 U.S.C § 1338 ("The district courts shall have original jurisdiction of any civil action arising under any Act of Congress relating to . . . copyrights . . . No State court shall have jurisdiction over any claim for relief arising under any Act of Congress relating to . . . copyrights"). *See also* Ex. A, Complaint (¶¶ 39-44) (alleging claim under 17 U.S.C § 501).
- 4. As the Complaint was filed in the Superior Court of the State of California, County of Riverside, venue is proper in this Court's Eastern Division. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a) (allowing removal "to the district court of the United States for the district and division embracing the place" where the state court action is pending); 28 U.S.C. § 84(a) ("The Central District comprises the counties of . . . Riverside. . . .").
- 5. The docket of the state court action reflects that Defendants Setsu Shigematsu, Dylan Rodriquez and S.T.R.O.N.G. Edutainment were served on September 10, 2020, as reflected in the attached proofs of service attached hereto as Exhibit C. Counsel for the Regents conferred

with counsel for the three other defendants, Setsu Shigematsu, Dylan Rodriguez and S.T.R.O.N.G. Edutainment, on October 2, 2020, and those defendants all consent to removal. 6. The Regents are represented by the undersigned counsel. Dated: October 2, 2020 RIMON, P.C. By: Brian T. Hafter Attorneys for Defendant THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

1			PROOF OF SERVICE
2			
3	I, LISA L. RANSDALL, am over the age of 18 and not a party to this action. My place of business is One Embarcadero Center, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94111. On October 2, 2020, I served the following document/s:		
5	1. <u>AMENDED</u> NOTICE OF REMOVAL		
6	on the person(s) listed below in the following manner/s:		
7 8 9			<u>ELECTRONICALLY:</u> I caused a true and correct copy thereof to be electronically filed using the Court's Electronic Court Filing ("ECF") System and service was completed by electronic means by transmittal of a Notice of Electronic Filing on the registered participants of the ECF System.
10 11 12 13			BY UNITED STATES MAIL: I enclosed the documents in a sealed envelope or package addressed to the persons at the addresses below and placed the envelope for collection and mailing following our ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with this business' practice for collecting and processing documents for mailing. On the same day that correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid.
14 15 16 17			BY OVERNIGHT DELIVERY: I enclosed the documents in an envelope or package provided by an overnight delivery carrier and addressed to the person(s) at the addresse(s) below and placed the envelope for collection and mailing following our ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with this business's practice for collecting and processing documents for overnight delivery. On the same day that correspondence is placed for collection and overnight delivery, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with an overnight delivery service in a sealed envelope with all fees fully prepaid.
18 19		X	BY ELECTRONIC MAIL: Pursuant to prior agreement of counsel, I sent the persons below copies of the documents via electronic mail at the email addresses below. Such transmission was complete and without error in that within a reasonable amount of time no error message was received.
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26 27			
$\begin{bmatrix} 27 \\ 28 \end{bmatrix}$			
_0			3