I	Case 5:21-cv-00581-BLF Document 18	Filed 02/04/21 Page 1 of 4		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	DENISE M. MINGRONE (SBN 135224) dmingrone@orrick.com ROBERT URIARTE (SBN 258274) ruriarte@orrick.com MARIA N. SOKOVA (SBN 323627) msokova@orrick.com ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP 1000 Marsh Road Menlo Park, CA 94025-1015 Telephone: +1 650 614 7400 Facsimile: +1 650 614 7401 Attorneys for Plaintiff			
8	SYNOPSYS, INC.			
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
11				
12	SYNOPSYS, INC.,	Case No. 5:21-cv-00581-BLF		
13	Plaintiff,	[ <b>PROPOSED</b> ] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF SYNOPSYS, INC.'S EX		
14	V.	PARTE MOTION FOR (1) TEMPORARY RESTRAINING		
15	UNIVERSITY OF RHODE ISLAND, and DOES 1-10, inclusive,	ORDER; (2) EXPEDITED DISCOVERY; AND (3) SETTING		
16	Defendants.	STATUS CONFERENCE		
17				
18		-		
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25 26				
26				
27		<del>[PROPOSED]</del> ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S EX PARTE MOTION FOR		
28 NGTON & LLP T LAW		TRO; ORDER FOR EXPEDITED DISCOVERY; AND ORDER SETTING STATUS CONFERENCE Case No. 5:21-cv-00581-BLF		

ORRICK, HERRINGTON SUTCLIFFE LLP Attorneys at Law Silicon Valley The Court, having considered Plaintiff Synopsys, Inc.'s ("Synopsys") notice of motion and motion for entry of a temporary restraining order and order to show cause as to why a preliminary injunction should not be entered, and for an order granting expedited discovery, the "Motion"), the opposition thereto and having heard the oral argument of counsel and having found good cause to do so, hereby orders as follows:

1. Synopsys' Motion is GRANTED. The Court finds that Synopsys is likely to 6 7 succeed on the merits of its claim that the University of Rhode Island ("URI") has violated the Digital Millennium Copyright Act ("DMCA"), 17 U.S.C. §§ 1201 et seq. by circumventing 8 9 Synopsys' technological measures to gain unauthorized access to Synopsys copyright protected 10 software. MDY Indus., LLC v. Blizzard Entm't, Inc., 629 F.3d 928, 952 (9th Cir. 2010), as amended on denial of reh'g (Feb. 17, 2011), opinion amended and superseded on denial of reh'g, 11 12 No. 09-15932, 2011 WL 538748 (9th Cir. Feb. 17, 2011); Apple, Inc. v. Psystar Corp., 673 F. 13 Supp. 2d 931, 934, 942 (N.D. Cal. 2009), aff'd, 658 F.3d 1150 (9th Cir. 2011). Synopsys further 14 establishes that diminution in market share, reputational harm, lost profits, and unfair competition 15 with unlicensed services constitute irreparable harm. eBay, Inc. v. Bidder's Edge, Inc., 100 F. 16 Supp. 2d 1058, 1066 (N.D. Cal. 2000) (citing People of California ex rel. Van De Kamp v. Tahoe 17 Reg'l Planning Agency, 766 F.2d 1316, 1319 (9th Cir. 1985)); Disney Enterps, Inc. v. VidAngel, 18 Inc., 869 F.3d 848, 866 (9th Cir. 2017); see also A&M Records v. Napster, Inc., 239 F.3d 1004, 19 1017 (9th Cir. 2001). The balance of equities similarly weighs in Synopsys' favor. Further, an 20 injunction would not harm Defendant because an injunction would do no more than require 21 Defendant to comply with federal and state anti-piracy laws. Dish Network, L.L.C. v. SatFTA, 22 2011 WL 856268, at \*8 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 9, 2011). Finally, public interest favors enforcement of 23 the DMCA and preventing copyright infringement. SatFTA, 2011 WL 856268, at \*8; Flextronics Int'l, Ltd. v. Parametric Tech., Corp., 2013 WL 5200175, at \*8 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 16, 2013). 24 25 2. The Court further finds that unless a temporary restraining order is granted, 26 irreparable harm will result to Synopsys before the matter can be heard on noticed motion; *Disney* 

ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP Attorneys at Law Silicon Valley

27

28

## Case 5:21-cv-00581-BLF Document 18 Filed 02/04/21 Page 3 of 4

1 *Enterprises, Inc.*, 869 F.3d 848; and

2

11

3. The Court further finds that there is good cause to allow expedited forensic

3 discovery of URI's devices associated with the following userIDs: yubi and jingyang as well as

4 the devices associated with the below Hostnames and Mac Addresses:

5			
5		Hostname	MAC addresses
6			
7		yubi-Workstation1	04:D4:C4:5D:40:A2, 04:D4:C4:5D:40:A3
8		jingyang-Workstation0	A4:BB:6D:44:79:74
9	Apple Inc. v. Samsung Elecs. Co., Ltd., 2011 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 53233, at *4 (N.D. Cal.		
10	May 1	8, 2011).	

THEREFORE, and with good cause shown,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that defendant URI, its representatives, officers, agents,
directors, affiliates, servants, employees, students, and all persons acting in concert or
participation with it, including employees and independent contractors, are enjoined from directly
or indirectly accessing, using, transferring, or copying, in any way, any Synopsys software
without authorization from Synopsys.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that defendant URI, its representatives, officers, agents,
directors, affiliates, servants, employees, and all persons acting in concert or participation with it,
including employees and independent contractors, shall immediately preserve all evidence that
may relate to this matter, including all hard copy materials and all computer hard drives and other
electronic devices in their possession, custody, or control.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the devices used by the individuals associated with the
identified userIDs yubi and jingyang as well as the devices associated with the below Hostnames
and Mac Addresses which directly or indirectly access any Synopsys software or products and are
in URI's possession, custody, or control, shall be immediately made accessible to a neutral third party forensic consultant, whether FTI Consulting, URI's third-party consultant, or both, and that

27

28

## Case 5:21-cv-00581-BLF Document 18 Filed 02/04/21 Page 4 of 4

such third-party consultants be permitted to participate or at least observe the imaging process,
which shall occur at URI, where the devices are located. The images shall remain in URI's
possession until the parties establish the forensic protocol, after which the applicable third-party
consultant shall make available said images to FTI or URI's third-party consultant, as applicable.
The parties shall enter a forensic protocol and Protective Order by Tuesday February 9, 2021 by
4:00 p.m. or notify the Court by that time if any issues with respect to the protocol remain.

7	Hostname	MAC addresses	
8		MAC addresses	
9	yubi-Workstation1	04:D4:C4:5D:40:A2, 04:D4:C4:5D:40:A3	
10	jingyang-Workstation0	A4:BB:6D:44:79:74	
11			
12	3 court enjoins URI for thirty (30) days. The parties shall appear before this Court on March 4,		
13			
14			
15			
16	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
17		Roll for hand	
18	DATED: February 4, 2021	<u>HOLM JULIN ARSON EDEEMAN</u>	
19		HONORABLE BETH LABSON FREEMAN UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27		[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING	
28 Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP Attorneys at Law Silicon Valley		- 3 - TRO; ORDER FOR EXPEDITED DISCOVERY Case No. 5:21-cv-00581-BLF	